

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/878,193	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Fatahiyar	2629	

All Participants:

(1) Mike Fatahiyar.

(2) Mrs. Catherine M. Voisinnet.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 10, 11 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

Kivela et al(6,404,423B1)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mrs. Voisinnet agreed to the changes suggested by the examiner and entered by the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)